



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/806,712

03/23/2004

Yuko Nishikawa

81232 7114

2664

37123 7590 12/09/2008  
FITCH EVEN TABIN & FLANNERY  
120 SOUTH LASALLE SUITE 1600  
CHICAGO, IL 60603

EXAMINER

TAYLOR, JOSHUA D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2426

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/09/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/806,712	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NISHIKAWA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JOSHUA TAYLOR	<b>Art Unit</b> 2426	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 November 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/17/2008, 11/20/2008</u> .                                   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Response to Arguments*

Applicant's arguments, filed 10/20/2008, with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 and 15-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knudson et al. (Pat. No.: US 7,386,871) in view of Ahmad et al. (Pat. No.: US 6,263,507).

Regarding claim 1, Knudson discloses **a method comprising: providing access to characterizing descriptors as individually correspond to a plurality of discrete selectable items of data** (Figs. 3, 6, 7, 13. Each figure shows multiple descriptions corresponding to selectable television programs.); **on a display comprising a two-dimensional display region** (The figures cited comprise a two-dimensional display region.); **simultaneously providing a plurality of discrete indicators within the two-dimensional display region for at least some of the discrete selectable items of data, which discrete indicators comprise at least a portion of the characterizing descriptors as corresponds to the discrete selectable items of data** (Fig. 6. The channel number and description can be described as a discrete indicator.); **providing a**

Art Unit: 2426

**segregated display area within the two-dimensional display region** (Fig. 13 shows a segregated display area.), **automatically causing relative movement as between the segregated display area and the plurality of discrete indicators by changing position along a dimension of the two-dimensional display region of one of the segregated display area and the plurality of discrete indicators** (Figs. 13, 14a and 14b, column 15, lines 36-59. The scrolling controllable ticker scrolls through categories and items). Knudson, while disclosing displaying additional information about the items (column 16, lines 12-23), does not disclose **automatically displaying additional content as corresponds to the characterizing descriptors for a given one of the discrete indicators as interacts in a predetermined way, at least in part, with the segregated display area.** However, in analogous art, Ahmad teaches automatically displaying additional, related information based on the current position of a marker in relation to a segment of a multi-segmented piece of audio-visual content (Figs. 2a and 2b, column 3, lines 34-59, column 16, lines 53-54, column 18, lines 22-44, column 19, lines 2-4, and column 23, lines 3-9). In other words, as the marker indicating the currently viewed segment moves, in a predetermined way, across the segments of primary information, the secondary information changes based on the position of the marker. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Knudson to include automatically displaying the additional content corresponding to the characterizing descriptors for a given discrete indicator. This would have produced predictable and desirable results, as the user would be given access to additional information in a controlled manor, without being overloaded with an excess of information all at once.

Art Unit: 2426

Regarding claim 2, the combined teaching of Knudson and Ahmad discloses **the method of claim 1**, and Knudson further discloses **wherein providing access to characterizing descriptors as individually correspond to a plurality of discrete selectable items of data further comprises providing access to textual characterizing descriptors as individually correspond to a plurality of discrete selectable items of data** (Figs. 3, 6, 7 and 13 all have textual characterizing descriptors).

Regarding claim 3, the combined teaching of Knudson and Ahmad discloses **the method of claim 1**, and Knudson further discloses **wherein simultaneously providing a plurality of discrete indicators further comprises simultaneously providing a plurality of content titles** (Fig. 6, element 30, column 10, lines 45-46).

Regarding claim 4, the combined teaching of Knudson and Ahmad discloses **the method of claim 1**, and Knudson further discloses **wherein the plurality of discrete selectable items of data comprises a plurality of discrete selectable items of audio/visual content** (Figs. 3, 6, 7 and 13 all display items of audio/visual content).

Regarding claim 5, the combined teaching of Knudson and Ahmad discloses **the method of claim 4**, and Knudson further discloses **wherein the characterizing descriptors as individually correspond to a plurality of discrete selectable items of data comprises at least one of: a programming network identifier; a broadcast starting time; a description of the audio/visual content; content media source** (Fig. 6 displays network identifiers and channel starting times).

Art Unit: 2426

Regarding claim 6, the combined teaching of Knudson and Ahmad discloses **the method of claim 4**, and Knudson further discloses **wherein the plurality of discrete selectable items of audio/visual content are embodied in a plurality of media** (column 2, lines 33-41).

Regarding claim 7, the combined teaching of Knudson and Ahmad discloses **the method of claim 4**, and Knudson further discloses **wherein automatically displaying additional content as corresponds to the characterizing descriptors for a given one of the discrete indicators as interacts in a predetermined way, at least in part, with the segregated display area comprises automatically displaying video content as corresponds to the characterizing descriptors for the given one of the discrete indicators** (Fig. 13, element 187, column 14, lines 20-33).

Regarding claim 8, the combined teaching of Knudson and Ahmad discloses **the method of claim 4**, and Knudson further discloses **wherein the plurality of discrete selectable items of audio/visual content comprises recently accessed items of audio/visual content** (column 15, line 60 – column 16, line 11).

Claims 15-19 are system claims corresponding to method claims 1-8. Thus, rejections of claims 1-8 will apply. To carry out the method steps as prescribed in claims 1-8, Knudson in view of Ahmad as a whole would have rendered obvious an interactive data display system as prescribed in claims 15-19.

Art Unit: 2426

Claims 9-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knudson et al. (Pat. No.: US 7,386,871) in view of Ahmad et al. (Pat. No.: US 6,263,507), and further in view of Reisman (Pub. No.: US 2004/0031058).

Regarding claim 9, Knudson discloses **a method comprising: providing access to characterizing descriptors as individually correspond to a plurality of discrete selectable items of data** (Figs. 3, 6, 7, 13. Each figure shows multiple descriptions corresponding to selectable television programs.); **on a display comprising a two-dimensional display region** (The figures cited comprise a two-dimensional display region.); **simultaneously providing a plurality of discrete indicators within the two-dimensional display region for at least some of the discrete selectable items of data, which discrete indicators comprise at least a portion of the characterizing descriptors as corresponds to the discrete selectable items of data** (Fig. 6. The channel number and description can be described as a discrete indicator.); **providing a segregated display area within the two-dimensional display region** (Fig. 13 shows a segregated display area.), **automatically causing relative movement as between the segregated display area and the plurality of discrete indicators by changing position along a dimension of the two-dimensional display region of one of the segregated display area and the plurality of discrete indicators** (Figs. 13, 14a and 14b, column 15, lines 36-59. The scrolling controllable ticker scrolls through categories and items). Knudson, while disclosing displaying additional information about the items (column 16, lines 12-23), does not disclose **automatically displaying additional content as corresponds to the characterizing descriptors for a given one of the discrete indicators as interacts in a predetermined way, at least in part, with the segregated display area**. However, in analogous art, Ahmad teaches

Art Unit: 2426

automatically displaying additional, related information based on the current position of a marker in relation to a segment of a multi-segmented piece of audio-visual content (Figs. 2a and 2b, column 3, lines 34-59, column 16, lines 53-54, column 18, lines 22-44, column 19, lines 2-4, and column 23, lines 3-9). In other words, as the marker indicating the currently viewed segment moves, in a predetermined way, across the segments of primary information, the secondary information changes based on the position of the marker. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Knudson to include automatically displaying the additional content corresponding to the characterizing descriptors for a given discrete indicator. This would have produced predictable and desirable results, as the user would be given access to additional information in a controlled manner, without being overloaded with an excess of information all at once.

However, the combined teachings of Knudson and Ahmad does not disclose **providing a plurality of user-selectable characterizing descriptor filter criteria**; nor displaying the selectable items of data **as corresponds to a present selection of a characterizing descriptor filter criterion**. However, in analogous art, Reisman discloses that “One method that might be used to differentiate levels of service relates to the display of filtered and ranked program listings. Personalized EPG functions could, for instance, present only the programs expected to be desired by the user, perhaps in order of desirability, instead of a non-personalized, unfiltered mass of listings, whether organized in a grid, or in other listing structures, such as by genre or person (paragraph [0687]).” Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have added this additional feature to the teachings of Knudson and Ahmad. This would have produced predictable and desirable results, as having



Art Unit: 2426

filtered data would allow the user to see information that is more likely to be useful to the viewer, thus increasing the efficiency of the display.

Regarding claim 10, **the method of claim 9** is rejected as stated above, and Knudson further discloses **wherein the plurality of discrete selectable items of data comprise a plurality of discrete selectable items of audio/visual content** (Figs. 3, 6, 7 and 13 all display items of audio/visual content).

Regarding claim 11, **the method of claim 10** is rejected as stated above, and Reisman further discloses **10 wherein the plurality of user-selectable characterizing descriptor filter criteria includes at least one of: recently viewed discrete selectable items of data; recommended discrete selectable items of data** (Reisman, paragraph [0513], lines 8-11). This claim is rejected on the same grounds as claim 10, as the method of claim 10 is taught by the listed references, and the additional condition described in claim 11 is an obvious variant thereof, and is further taught by Reisman in the indicated sections.

Regarding claim 12, **the method of claim 9** is rejected as stated above, and Knudson discloses **further comprising: detecting user selection of a particular one of the plurality of discrete indicators** (column 7, line 61 – column 8, line 3). This claim is rejected on the same grounds as claim 9, as the method of claim 9 is taught by the listed references, and the additional condition described in claim 12 is an obvious variant thereof, and is further taught by Knudson in the indicated sections.

Regarding claim 13, **the method of claim 12** is rejected as stated above, and Knudson discloses **further comprising: sending a signal indicating user selection of the particular one of the plurality of discrete indicators** (column 7, line 61 – column 8, line 3). This claim is

Art Unit: 2426

rejected on the same grounds as claim 12, as the method of claim 12 is taught by the listed references, and the additional condition described in claim 13 is an obvious variant thereof, and is further taught by Knudson in the indicated sections.

Regarding claim 14, **the method of claim 12** is rejected as stated above, and Knudson discloses **further comprising: detecting a remote control device signal indicating the user selection of a particular one of the plurality of discrete indicators** (column 7, line 61 – column 8, line 3). This claim is rejected on the same grounds as claim 12, as the method of claim 12 is taught by the listed references, and the additional condition described in claim 14 is an obvious variant thereof, and is further taught by Knudson in the indicated sections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSHUA TAYLOR whose telephone number is (571)270-3755. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-5pm, M-F, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571) 272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2426

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Josh Taylor/

Examiner, Art Unit 2426

/Vivek Srivastava/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2426